

April 3, 2002

Ms. Juliet U. King Legal Counsel Texas Building and Procurement Commission P.O. Box 13047 Austin, Texas 78711-3047

OR2002-1622

Dear Ms. King:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 160778.

The Texas Building and Procurement Commission (the "commission") received a request for copies of the bid packets that were submitted by two specified companies for the "Texas Overnight and Second Day Delivery Service - IFB # 963-Al Re - ad 1." You indicate that you have released some responsive information to the requestor. Although you claim that the release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties under section 552.110 of the Government Code, you take no position as to whether the information is so excepted. We have considered all claimed exceptions and have reviewed the submitted information.

Pursuant to section 552.305(d) of the Government Code, the commission notified two interested third parties, Airborne Express, Inc. ("Airborne") and Federal Express, Inc. ("FedEx"), of the request and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Public Information Act in certain circumstances). We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from disclosure. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B).

As of the date of this letter, Airborne has not submitted any comments to this office explaining why any portion of its bid packet should not be released to the requestor. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude that the release of any portion of Airborne's bid

packet would implicate Airborne's proprietary interests under section 552.110. See Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (stating that if governmental body takes no position, attorney general will grant exception to disclosure under statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.110(a) if third party makes prima facie case that information qualifies as trade secret under section 757 of Restatement of Torts, and no argument is presented that rebuts claim as matter of law), 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under Gov't Code § 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm). Accordingly, the commission may not withhold any portion of Airborne's bid packet from disclosure pursuant to section 552.110 of the Government Code.

However, FedEx did respond to the commission's section 552.305 notice by claiming that portions of its bid packet are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.104 and 552.110(b) of the Government Code. FedEx argues that its bid packet is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 because its release would give advantage to FedEx's competitors. However, we note that section 552.104 is not designed to protect the interests of private parties that submit information to a governmental body. See Open Records Decision No. 592 at 8-9 (1991). Section 552.104 excepts information from disclosure if a governmental body demonstrates that the release of the information would cause potential specific harm to its interests in a particular competitive situation. See Open Records Decision Nos. 593 at 2 (1991), 463 (1987), 453 at 3 (1986). The commission has not argued that the release of any portion of FedEx's bid packet would harm its interests in a particular competitive situation. Therefore, no portion of FedEx's bid packet may be withheld from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code.

FedEx also argues that the release of its proprietary information setting out the rates offered to the state for requested services would cause FedEx substantial competitive harm under section 552.110(b). Section 552.110(b) excepts from disclosure "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." An entity will not meet its burden under section 552.110(b) by a mere conclusory assertion of a possibility of commercial harm. Cf. National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton, 498 F.2d 765, 770 (D.C. Cir. 1974). The governmental body or interested third party raising section 552.110(b) must provide a specific factual or evidentiary showing that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure of the requested information. See Open Records Decision No. 639 at 4 (1996) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure). Since the FedEx information submitted by the commission for our review does not concern the rates offered by FedEx, we need not consider FedEx's claim under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

However, we note that portions of the information pertaining to Airborne and FedEx contain e-mail addresses that may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.137 of the Government Code. Section 552.137 makes certain e-mail addresses confidential and provides in pertinent part:

- (a) An e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.
- (b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release.

Gov't Code § 552.137. Accordingly, unless the members of the public in question have affirmatively consented to their release, the commission must withhold from disclosure the e-mail addresses that we have marked pursuant to section 552.137 of the Government Code. The commission must release the remaining information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Ronald J. Bounds

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Romer J. Bando

RJB/seg

Ref: ID# 160778

Enc. Marked documents

cc: Ms. Belinda Castille
Major Account Executive
Business Development
United Parcel Service
6400 Seven States Boulevard

San Antonio, Texas 78244 (w/o enclosures)

Mr. John Widmer District Sales Manager Airborne Express, Inc. 2631 Kramer Lane Austin, Texas 78758

(w/o enclosures)

Mr. L. Kenneth McCown, Jr.

Senior Attorney

Legal Sales Transactions

FedEx

3620 Hacks Cross Road, Building B, 3rd Floor

Memphis, Tennessee 38125

(w/o enclosures)